

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,628	WILLMAN, BRYAN M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang	2195	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kenneth Tang.

(3) _____

 (2) Albert S. Michalik (Reg. No. 37,395).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 March 2006
Time: 4pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-77


Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims according to the Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)